1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 5 6 PERFORMANCE, INC., 7 Plaintiff(s), NO. C06-102P 8 v. MINUTE ORDER RE: 9 BRUCE BLUME, et al., PROPOSED PROTECTIVE ORDER 10 Defendant(s). 11 12 13 The following minute order is made by the direction of the court, the Honorable Marsha J. 14 Pechman: 15 The Court declines to approve the proposed protective order (Dkt. No. 20). The Court will 16 not automatically approve stipulated protective orders to authorize documents marked "confidential" 17 and produced during discovery pursuant to protective orders to be filed under seal without further 18 order of the Court. "There is a strong presumption of public access to the court's files and records 19 which may be overcome only on a *compelling showing* that the public's right of access is outweighed 20 by the interests of the public and the parties in protecting files, records, or documents from public 21 review." Local Rule CR 5(g)(1) (emphasis added). 22 23

Paragraphs 2- 11 of the parties' proposed Stipulated Protective Order outlines a procedure which essentially allows them to unilaterally seal any document which they deem "confidential." Parties seeking an order to seal any documents must provide a specific description of particular MINUTE ORDER RE:

24

25

26

PROPOSED PROTECTIVE ORDER

## documents or categories of documents they seek to protest and a "clear statement of the facts justifying a seal and overcoming the strong presumption in favor of public access." Local Rule CR 5(g)(2) (emphasis added). The facts supporting any motion to seal must be provided by declaration or affidavit. The Court's denial is without prejudice to submit a conforming proposed protective order. Filed this 30<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2006. BRUCE RIFKIN, Clerk /s Mary Duett By Deputy Clerk

MINUTE ORDER RE:

PROPOSED PROTECTIVE ORDER